### DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

### HELD AT 7.00 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, 23 NOVEMBER 2016

#### **DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

#### 1. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

No declarations of interest were reported

#### 2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING(S)

#### The Committee **RESOLVED**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 26 October 2016 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

### 3. RECOMMENDATIONS AND PROCEDURE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS AND MEETING GUIDANCE

The Committee **RESOLVED** that:

- 1) In the event of changes being made to recommendations by the Committee, the task of formalising the wording of those changes is delegated to the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal along the broad lines indicated at the meeting; and
- 2) In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions/informatives/planning obligations for or reasons approval/refusal) prior to the decision being issued, the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal is delegated authority to do so, provided always that the Corporate Director does not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision
- 3) To note the procedure for hearing objections at meetings of the Development Committee and the meeting guidance.

#### 4. DEFERRED ITEMS

# 4.1 Site at 14 to 16 Clegg Street, 13 to 15 Cinnamon Street and 125 to 129 Wapping High Street (PA/15/03561)

Update report tabled.

On a vote of 0 in favour of the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission, 6 against and 0 abstentions, the Committee did not agree the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission.

Accordingly, Councillor Marc Francis proposed a motion that the planning permission be refused for the reasons set out in the Committee report dated 23 November 2016 and on a vote of 6 in favour, 0 against and 0 abstentions, the Committee **RESOLVED**:

That planning permission be **REFUSED** at Site at 14 to 16 Clegg Street, 13 to 15 Cinnamon Street and 125 to 129 Wapping High Street for Partial demolition of the existing buildings and redevelopment of all three sites to create 41 residential units and a retail unit along Wapping High Street, together with associated hard and soft landscaping works and the provision of cycle parking across all three sites. Site A would contain the majority of the units, with 27 flats; Site B would contain 10 and Site C, the 4 town houses (PA/15/03561) for the following reasons as set out in the Committee report, dated 23 November 2016:

Impact of highway network

 The existing narrow streets and lack of dedicated drop-off provision will result in a detrimental impact upon the safety and free-flow of traffic in the surrounding street network due to the servicing requirements and vehicle movements generated by the proposal, contrary to policies SP09 of the Core Strategy 2010 and DM20 of the Managing Development Document 2013 which seeks to ensure that new development does not have an adverse impact upon the safety and capacity of the road network.

Impact of neighbour's amenity

2. The proposed development will have unacceptable adverse impact on the amenity of occupiers of nearby properties due to a detrimental reduction in daylighting and sunlighting conditions of neighbouring residential properties located within Ross House and 10-12 Clave Street. The proposals are therefore contrary to Policy SP10(4) of the Council's adopted Core Strategy (2010) and Policy DM25 of the Council's adopted Managing Development Document (2013) require development to protect, and where possible improve, the amenity of existing and future residents and buildings occupants, together with the amenity of the surrounding public realm.

Impact of heritage assets

3. The proposed development, by way of the design, scale, height, and profile, compared to the buildings to be demolished, would appear as a visually incongruous to the local area and fails to respect the scale, proportions and architecture of the former buildings. As a result, the development would cause less than substantial harm to the character and appearance of the Wapping Wall Conservation Area and would fail to preserve the character of this heritage asset. The harm identified to the designated heritage asset is not outweighed by the public benefits of the scheme.

As a result the proposal is not considered to be sustainable development, contrary to paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2012), and fails to meet the requirements of Policy SP10 of the Council's adopted Core Strategy (2010), Policies DM24 and DM27 of the Council's adopted Managing Development Document (2013) and government guidance set out in Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2012) as well as the Wapping Wall Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Guidelines (2009).

# 4.2 Harley House and Campion House, Frances Wharf, London (PA/15/03433)

On a vote of 0 in favour of the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission, 5 against and 1 abstention, the Committee did not agree the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission.

Accordingly, Councillor Marc Francis proposed a motion that the planning permission be refused for the reasons set out in the Committee report dated 23 November 2016 and on a vote of 5 in favour, 0 against and 1 abstention, the Committee **RESOLVED**:

That planning permission be **REFUSED** at Harley House and Campion House, Frances Wharf, London for roof extensions at 7th floor and 9th floor levels to provide 6 new residential units along with the reconfiguration of 1 existing unit (PA/15/03433) for the following reasons as set out in the Committee report, dated 23 November 2016

### Density

1. The proposed development by reason of its excessive density constitutes overdevelopment of the site, which is exhibited by the resulting inadequate access to sunlight and daylight for neighbouring residential properties. There is no exceptional circumstance to justify exceeding the advised density range for this development site. The development is contrary to the NPPF, policies 3.4 of the London Plan (MALP 2016), SP02 of the Core Strategy (2010) and the London Plan Housing SPG (2016).

## Amenity

2. The proposed additional storeys at 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> floor levels, by reasons of their siting, form and mass would result in unacceptable sunlight and daylight failures to existing residential units and the construction of the development would result in an unacceptable level of noise, vibration and dust pollution for existing residents and building occupiers. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy SP10 of the Adopted Core Strategy (2010) and Policy DM25 in the Managing Development Document (2013), along with the objectives set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (2012), which seek to ensure that development safeguards the amenity of surrounding existing and future residents and building occupants.

### **Incremental Development**

3. The absence of a policy complaint affordable housing provision for this incremental development would fail to ensure the development contributes to the creation of socially balanced and inclusive communities. As a result the proposal is contrary to policy SP02 (3) which requires housing development to provide 35%-50% affordable housing on all sites providing a total of 10 or more residential units.

## Design

4. The proposed additional storeys to the existing building at 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> floor levels, by reasons of its scale, bulk and appearance; and when considered in conjunction with the overall character of its immediate environs, would have a detrimental effect on the appearance and character of the surrounding area and the adjacent Limehouse Cut conservation area. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy SP10 of the Adopted Core Strategy (2010) and Policies DM24 and DM27 in the Managing Development Document (2013), along with the objectives set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (2012), which seek to ensure that development is appropriate in terms of design, is sensitive to and enhances the local character and its setting, and protects and enhances the borough's heritage assets.

## 4.3 Holland Estate, Commercial Street, London (PA/16/01628)

Update report tabled.

On a vote of 0 in favour of the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission, 4 against and 1 abstention, the Committee did not agree the Officer recommendation to refuse planning permission.

Accordingly, Councillor Marc Francis proposed a motion that the planning permission be granted and on a vote of 4 in favour, 0 against and 1 abstention the Committee **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the Application for variation of Condition 29 (approved plans) of planning permission reference PA/08/02347, dated 1<sup>st</sup> April 2010, be GRANTED for a proposed minor material amendment to the approved development comprising the introduction of a new security gate between No.16 and No.36 Goulston Street, the removal of the existing security gates to the courtyards of Herbert House and Jacobson House, and the omission of the approved pedestrian access route between Herbert House and Jacobson House, for the reasons set out in the 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2016 Committee report subject to:
- 2. The conditions and informatives set out in the 23 November 2016 Committee report.

- 3. The prior completion of a legal agreement to carry over all of the obligations relating to the section 106 agreement required for the original planning permission, taking account of the revised conditions.
- 4. That the Corporate Director Development & Renewal is delegated power to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above acting within delegated authority. If within three months of the resolution the legal agreement has not been completed, the Corporate Director Development & Renewal is delegated power to refuse planning permission.

Councillor Andrew Cregan left the meeting for the consideration of this item, having declared a prejudicial interest in the item when it was previously considered at the 26<sup>th</sup> October 2016 Development Committee meeting. This was on the basis that the Councillor was a Council appointed Board Member of East End Homes.

## 5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION

## 5.1 Site at corner of Buxton Street and Spital Street, London (PA/16/01832)

On a vote of 6 in favour 0 against and 1 abstention, the Committee **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the planning permission be **GRANTED** at Site at corner of Buxton Street and Spital Street, London for the Demolition of the existing store building, substation and workshop and boundary wall to Buxton Street and Spital Street up to the Cooperage Building and erection of a 3 storey high Data Centre with basement accommodation (Use Class B8) including provision of Use Class B1 enterprise / D1 training floorspace, provision of rooftop satellite dishes, roof mounted mechanical plant, security fencing and bollards, cycle parking and provision of two electric charging car parking spaces (PA/16/01832) subject to:
- 2. The prior completion of a legal agreement to secure the planning obligations set out in the Committee report.
- 3. That the Corporate Director Development & Renewal is delegated authority to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above.
- 4. That if, within three months of the date of this committee meeting the legal agreement has not been completed, the Corporate Director of Development & Renewal has delegated authority to refuse planning permission.
- 5. That the Corporate Director Development & Renewal is delegated power to impose conditions and informatives on the planning permission to secure the matters set out in the Committee report
- 6. Any other informatives(s) considered necessary by the Corporate Director Development & Renewal.

# 5.2 Site at South East Junction of Whitechapel Road and New Road, Whitechapel Road (Royal London Hospital) (PA/15/02774)

Application deferred for consideration at the 15<sup>th</sup> December 2016 Development Committee.

## 6. OTHER PLANNING MATTERS

None

### WILL TUCKLEY, CHIEF EXECUTIVE

(Please note that the wording in this document may not reflect the final wording used in the minutes.)